# CSA Staff Notice 45-310 Update on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

June 7, 2012

#### Introduction

On November 10, 2011, CSA staff published CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 *Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions* (the consultation note). The consultation note provided information about the two exemptions under review and set out 31 consultation questions. The comment period closed on February 29, 2012.

This notice updates market participants on the status of this consultation.

We received 108 comment letters and feedback from over 300 people who attended consultation sessions held across Canada. People expressed a wide range of views in the written comments and in the consultation sessions. We thank all participants for contributing to our consultation.

### **Accredited Investor Exemption**

Many commented on the importance of the accredited investor exemption for raising capital.

Some commenters supported retaining the accredited investor exemption and the definition of accredited investor in its current form. They commented that although the current income and asset thresholds are not perfect proxies for investor sophistication, they are administratively efficient and practical to apply.

Some commenters suggested ways we could broaden the exemption to increase access to capital by businesses and opportunities to invest in the exempt market for more people. Their suggestions included lowering the prescribed income and asset thresholds or adding new categories of accredited investor based on an investor's education, work experience or investing experience.

We received some comments suggesting that we increase the thresholds or impose additional limitations on the use of the exemption to ensure sufficient investor protection.

# **Minimum Amount Exemption**

There were divergent comments about the minimum amount exemption. Many stated that the minimum amount is a flawed basis to measure investor sophistication or ability to withstand loss and operates to discourage diversification or appropriate investment strategies. Many recommended that we repeal the exemption because of these concerns.

Others recommended that we keep the exemption at its current threshold despite these concerns.

Their reasons for keeping the minimum amount exemption included: its usefulness as an alternative exemption when no other is available; its simplicity where investors are not willing to complete paperwork; and, the reasonable assumption that an investor would exercise care and caution before making such a large investment.

Some recommended that we add requirements to the exemption to increase investor protection. Their suggestions included: changing the threshold; adding risk and financial disclosure requirements; prohibiting sales to individuals; requiring a suitability assessment by a registrant; and, replacing the current dollar threshold with a maximum investment limit based on a percentage of the investor's net worth or net income.

## **Impact on Capital Raising and Investment Opportunities**

Many expressed concern that any change that would restrict the availability of the prospectus exemptions would negatively impact capital raising for issuers, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises. Some suggested that the CSA should not change the exemptions unless there is clear evidence not only of a problem but also that the change would address that problem. Others asked the CSA to provide quantitative and qualitative data on the use of current prospectus exemptions to give better understanding of staff's concerns.

Some commenters encouraged the CSA to consider expanding the accredited investor definition or adopting additional prospectus exemptions in order to support capital raising by small and medium-sized enterprises and to allow more investment opportunities.

#### Harmonization

Some commenters encouraged CSA members to renew their efforts to harmonize the current prospectus exemptions that exist in National Instrument 45-106 *Prospectus and Registration Exemptions*.

# **Next Steps**

This is not a complete list of the comments received. Given the number of comments and the diversity of the feedback provided, staff will need further time to complete their review and consider the feedback. Before making any recommendation about these exemptions, we also intend to gather and analyze information from filed exempt distribution reports. The CSA will finalize this review and publicly report on our conclusions later this year.

Some CSA jurisdictions are considering expanding their review to include other capital raising exemptions, including the offering memorandum exemption, as well as research about exemptions in other jurisdictions, such as the U.S., U.K. and Australia. Some CSA jurisdictions may issue local notices announcing their plans.

## **Questions**

Please refer your questions to any of the following people:

British Columbia
Leslie Rose
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
British Columbia Securities Commission
604-899-6654
Toll free across Canada: 800-373-6393

lrose@bcsc.bc.ca

Alberta
Tracy Clark
Legal Counsel
Alberta Securities Commission
403-355-4424
Tracy.Clark@asc.ca

Saskatchewan
Sonne Udemgba
Acting Deputy Director, Legal (Securities Division)
Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission
306-787-5879
sonne.udemgba@gov.sk.ca

Ontario
Jo-Anne Matear
Manager, Corporate Finance
Ontario Securities Commission
416-593-2323
jmatear@osc.gov.on.ca

Maria Carelli Senior Accountant, Compliance and Registrant Regulation Ontario Securities Commission 416-593-2380 mcarelli@osc.gov.on.ca

Melissa Schofield Senior Legal Counsel, Investment Funds Ontario Securities Commission 416-595-8777 mschofield@osc.gov.on.ca George Hungerford Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604-899-6690 Toll free across Canada: 800-373-6393 ghungerford@bcsc.bc.ca

Patrick Hlavac-Winsor Legal Counsel Alberta Securities Commission 403-355-2803 Patrick.Hlavac-Winsor@asc.ca

Manitoba Chris Besko Legal Counsel - Deputy Director The Manitoba Securities Commission 204-945-2561 cbesko@gov.mb.ca

Elizabeth Topp Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Ontario Securities Commission 416-593-2377 etopp@osc.gov.on.ca

Carolyn Slon Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Ontario Securities Commission 416-593-2364 cslon@osc.gov.on.ca *Quebec*Sylvie Lalonde

Manager, Policy and Regulations Department

Autorité des marchés financiers 514-395-0337, ext. 4461

sylvie.lalonde@lautorite.qc.ca

Nova Scotia Shirley Lee

Director, Policy and Market Regulation Nova Scotia Securities Commission

902-424-5441 leesp@gov.ns.ca

Newfoundland and Labrador

Don Boyles

Program & Policy Development

Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador

Government of Newfoundland & Labrador

709-729-4501 dboyles@gov.nl.ca

Northwest Territories
Donn MacDougall

Deputy Superintendent, Legal & Enforcement Office of the Superintendent of Securities Government of the Northwest Territories

867-920-8984

donald macdougall@gov.nt.ca

New Brunswick
Brian Maude
Legal Counsel

New Brunswick Securities Commission

506-643-7202

brian.maude@gnb.ca

Prince Edward Island

Steve Dowling

Superintendent of Securities

Prince Edward Island

902-368-4552

sddowling@gov.pe.ca

Nunavut

Louis Arki, Director, Legal Registries

Department of Justice, Government of Nunavut

867-975-6587 larki@gov.nu.ca

Yukon

Frederik J. Pretorius

Manager Corporate Affairs (C-6) Dept of Community Services

Government of Yukon

867-667-5225

Fred.Pretorius@gov.yk.ca